UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,871	01/21/2005	Norihisa Mino	10873.1596USWO	1262	
	10/521,871 01/21/2005 Norihisa Mino	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2902		ONEILL, KARIE AMBER			
MIINNEAPOLI	EAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonvious Summons	10/521,871	MINO ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karie O'Neill	1795				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Karie O'Neill</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Amy Doyle</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 27 May 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	;]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)∏ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Examiner confirmed that Advisory Action dated May 18, 2009. Box 7 was not prope claim amendments. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE.	the cancellation of Claims 34 rly marked as it should have be ments which the examiner agroup of the amendments that which the carrier of the amendments that which carrier of the last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, N	and 35 were entered would render the sould render the been filed, APP OAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	ered in the the entry of er the claims of THE LICANT IS HIS LATER, TO			
/Mark Ruthkosky/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795						

Application No.

Applicant(s)